April 10, 1978

## LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

TO:

Legislative Liaison Officer

Central Intelligence Agency Department of State Nuclear Regulatory Commission Department of Energy

SUBJECT: DOD's proposed report on H.R. 3564 (and S. 1720) re modification of the APA's "military or foreign affairs" exemption.

The Office of Management and Budget requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

A response to this request for your views is needed no later than cob, Wednesday, April 19, 1978.

> James B. MacRae for Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

Enclosures cc:

STAT

## Approved For Release 2005/12/24: CIA-RDP81M00980R001600120069-7



## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

April 6, 1978

Honorable James T. McIntyre, Jr. Director, Office of Management and Budget
Washington, D.C. 20503

Dear Mr. McIntyre:

The views of the Department of Defense have been requested on H. R. 3561, H. R. 3562, H. R. 3563, H. R. 3564 and H. R. 3565, 95th Congress. Each bill is entitled "To amend chapter 5, subchapter II, of title 5, United States Code, to provide for improved administrative procedures."

Advice is requested as to whether there is objection to the presentation of the attached revised report to the Committee.

Sincerely,

.. Werner Windus

25 Marily

Director

Legislative Reference Service

Enclosure



## GEL. ... COUNSEL CF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENS

Honorable Peter W. Rodino, Jr. Chairman, Committee on Judiciary House of Representatives Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Reference is made to your request for the views of the Department of Defense regarding H. R. 3561, H. R. 3562, H. R. 3563, H. R. 3564 and H. R. 3565, 95th Congress. Each bill is entitled "To amend chapter 5, subchapter II, of title 5, United States Code, to provide for improved administrative procedures."

The foregoing bills are directed toward one of the foll ing three ends: (1) refinement of the administrative adjudic tive function; (2) limitations on the release of information while an investigation is in process; or (3) diminishing the "military or foreign affairs function" exemption from the rulemaking procedures of the Administrative Procedure Act.

Changes in the adjudicative processes are contemplated by H.R. 3561, H.R. 3562, H.R. 3565 and the first three section of H.R. 3563. Quasi-judicial procedures within the Department of the Defense are presently exempt from the strictures of the Administrative Procedure Act. Nothing in these legislated proposals appears to seek a change in that exempt status. Therefore, as to the proposals relating to the administrative adjudication process, the Department defers to other agencies having a greater involvement with the problem.

Section 4 of H.R. 3563 proposes a new section to be added to subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 5. United States Code. The proposed addition would prohibit any agency from making any statement or releasing any document concerning or relating to an agency investigation or proceeding if the release might to an agency investigation or proceeding if the release might harm any person in business, property, or reputation, unless the benefit to the public clearly exceeds the potential harm to the person adversely affected. The section further content to the person adversely affected. The section further content to the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected. The section further content of the person adversely affected in the person advers

action either in equity or by extraordinary legal remedies. It does not purport to amend the Federal Tort Claims Act to permit an action in damages for defamation.

We believe that section 4 of H.R. 3563 would not subst tially alter present departmental policies and practices. addition, it is doubtful that the proposal would have frequapplication to the Department's operations. We therefore d to other agencies having greater concern over the section.

Section 1, H.R. 3564, amends section 551(4) of title 5 United States Code, to divide the older definition of "rule into distinct definitions of "rule" and "ratemaking and cog proceedings." This can have little foreseeable impact on to Department of Defense. Accordingly, we defer to the Department of Justice and other agencies more directly concerned.

The apparent purpose of section 2 of H.R. 3564 is to give the public more notice and greater opportunity to participate in rulemaking. It seeks to accomplish that end by substitute for the blanket "military or foreign affairs function" exempla narrow exclusion. This substitute measure, subsection 2(1) would only allow avoidance of the notice and public participartors if the subject matter of the proposed rule was class pursuant to the standards set forth in an Executive order an such classification was in fact proper.

The provisions of section 2(1) would apply the standards of disclosure in the Freedom of Information Act to the rulemaking procedures of the Administrative Procedures Act. This provision could permit a litigant to halt issuance of a rule essential to national security on the basis that the classification was improper. Although the propriety of a classification may be germane to the ultimate release of a document under the Freedom of Information Act, it is ancillary to the necessity for promulgation of the rule. The swift and unencumbered issuance of rules covering both classified and unclassified matters is of paramount importance to our national defense. Any procedures that would create administrative delay in the promulgation or application of such rules would be harmful to the national defense. The public interest in proper classification is adequately served by the provisions for release of such information under the Freedom of Information Act.

The current exemption for matters involving a military or foreign affairs function has proved to be of great importance to the Department of Defent The worldwide operations of the Department often call for expeditious guidance on matters essential to the national defense. Subordinate commands, in turn, frequently issue regulations interpreting Department of Defense Directives and establishing local policies. If all such directive were published for notice and public comment, action by the Department of Defense and its components would be hopelessly encumbered.

Section 2(3) of the bill, which includes national defense within the public interest exemption, provides insufficient protection for the national security interests of our country. The judicial tests used in applying the "public interest" exemption should not be imported into the field of national defense. Those charged with the responsibility for the national defense should not be placed in the position of weighing the public interest in notice and comment against the public interest in national defense; rather, the authority to invoke the interest of national defense when they determine that such interest does not permit a waiting period for notice

In view of the foregoing, the Department objects to the provisions of H.R. 3564 that would equate the military and foreign affairs exemption with the public interest exemption. The Department recognizes that public participation in rulemaking can play an inportant role where expeditious promulgation is not required. The Department has adopted a procedure for such public participation. 32 CFR Part 296. The Department cannot support modification of the military and foreign affairs exemption unless the following provisions are incorporated into any change. First, there must be a blanket exemption for all classified matters. The propriety of the classification should not be imported into the rulemaking procedure; rather, it should be reserved for questions as to the release of documents under the Freedom of Information Act. Second, prepublication should not be required as a part of rulemaking by subordinate commands. Subordinat commanders must have the freedom to act expeditiously without being involved in notice and comment proceedings. Therefore, the prepublication requirements should be applied only to the executive headquarters of the Department of Defense and the Military Departments. The opportunity for the public to petition for issuance, amendment, or repeal of a rule can provide a sufficient mechanism for public participation at the local level. Third, rulemaking at the departmental level must not be inhibited by the



same "public interest" balancing test that is used in measuring the propublication requirements in matters other than the national defendance interest the determination as to whether the national defense interest requires the Department to forego prepublication should be left in the sole discretion of the Department, and should be reviewable only for a of discretion. Use of an external standard such as the "good cause" requirement would place an unwarranted burden on the expeditious polimaking essential to the national defense.

Subsection (2) of section 2 of H.R. 3564 would repeal the exemption from the rulemaking procedures for matters relating to public propert loans, grants, benefits, and contracts. These constitute the so-called "proprietary exemption." The Department objects to this subsection w

viewed in the context of the other provisions of H.R. 3564. As previously, the national defense exemption in H.R. 3564 is not sufficient to meet the needs of national security. The Department would not object to repeal of the proprietary exemption if appropriate modifications were made in the national defense exemption as outlined above. Functions related to national defense, including proprietary functions, cannot be susceptible to inordinate administrative delays at times when expedition action is required.

Accordingly, it is recommended that Section 2 of H.R. 3564 be modifie as follows:

- SEC. 2. Section 553 of title 5, United States Code, is amended as follows:
  - (1) Paragraph (1) of subsection (a) is amended to read as follows:
    - "(1) a matter pertaining to a military or foreign affair function of the United States that is kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy pursuant to criteria established by Executive Order or statute.
    - "(2) a matter relating to agency management or personnel.".
  - (2) The third sentence of subsection (b) is amended to read as follows:

"(A) to interpretative rules, general statements of policy, or rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice:

"(B) when the agency for good cause flads that notice and public procedure thereon would be impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public laterest. The unnecessary, or contrary to the public laterest. The agency shall publish in the document promulgating each rule issued in reliance upon this provision either (i) the finding and a brief statement of reasons therefore, or (ii) a statement that the rule is within the category of rules established by a specified rule which has been previously published and for which the finding and statement of reasons have been made; or

"(C) in any other matter pertaining to a military or foreign affairs function of the limited states when the agency finds that notice and public percedure thereon would be contrary to the interest of sational defense would be contrary to the interest of sational defense or foreign policy. The agency shall sublish in the document promulgating each rule issued in reliance upon this provision either (i) the flating and a brief upon this provision either (i) the flating and a brief that the rule is within the category of rules established that the rule is within the category of rules established by a specified rule which has been previously puslished and for which the finding and statements of reasons have been made."

- (3) Subsection (e) is redesignated as subsection (f).
- (4) Section 553 is further amended by inserting immediately after subsection (d) the collowing new subsection:
  - "(e) In a matter pertaining to a military or foreign affairs function of the United States, subsections (b) affairs function of the United States, subsections (b) (d) do not apply to the Propertment of Defense except for rulemaking by the executive parts of the Department of Defense and the Military Departments."

The Office of Management and Budget advives that, from the standpoint of the Administration's program, there is consideration of the committee.

Approved For Release 2005/12/24 : CIA-RDP81M09980R001600120069-7